
Regional Ambient Fish Tissue Monitoring Program

2025 Data Assessment Report

NEBRASKA

The logo for the Nebraska Department of Water, Energy, and Environment. It features the word "NEBRASKA" in a bold, blue, sans-serif font. A thick, orange-yellow swoosh underline starts under the 'N', goes under the 'B', 'R', 'A', and 'S', and then curves upwards and to the right, ending under the 'A'.

Good Life. Great Resources.

DEPT. OF WATER, ENERGY, AND ENVIRONMENT

June 2025

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the staff members from the Nebraska Department of Water, Energy and Environment (DWEE) who assisted with field collection activities in 2025.

I also want to acknowledge Adam Blackwood of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region VII laboratory for his support of Nebraska's fish tissue monitoring, analysis and assessment efforts.

If you have questions or concerns after reading this report, please contact me at (402) 471-4264 or greg.michl@nebraska.gov for assistance.

Greg Michl
Program Coordinator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF TABLES	iii
I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II. INTRODUCTION	3
III. SAMPLING METHODS	5
IV. RISK ASSESSMENT	9
Hazard Identification	9
Dose-Response Assessment	9
Exposure Assessment	10
Risk Characterization	12
V. CRITERIA FOR ISSUING A PUBLIC HEALTH ADVISORY	13
Authority	13
Health Risk Assessment Method	13
Sampling Location Requirements	13
Advisory Criteria	14
VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	16
2025 Sampling Effort and Purpose	16
Contaminants of Concern	16
Methylmercury	16
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	16
Risk Assessment Results	17
VII. SUMMARY	22
LITERATURE CITED	26
<i>Appendix A: Table and Maps of Nebraska Fish Consumption Advisories through 2025</i>	<i>27</i>

LIST OF FIGURES

	<i>Page</i>
Figure 1. Statewide Historical and 2025 Middle Platte and Loup River Basins Fish Tissue Sampling Sites.....	2
Figure 2. DWEE’s Six-Year Basin Rotation Monitoring Schedule.....	4
Figure 3. Monitoring Scheme for the Nebraska Fish Tissue Monitoring Program.....	15

LIST OF TABLES

	<i>Page</i>
Table 1. 2025 Sampling Locations, Site Status, Samples Collected and Parameters Analyzed.....	6
Table 2. Length Requirements for Fish Collected During RAFTMP Sampling.	8
Table 3. Parameter Analysis and Target Reporting Limits of Fish Tissue Samples Analyzed by the EPA Region VII Laboratory and State Hygienic Laboratory – University of Iowa	9
Table 4. Fish Tissue Contaminants and Associated Risk Assessment Parameters.....	10
Table 5. Fish Tissue Risk Assessment Results for Nebraska Streams and Lakes Monitored in 2025	18
Table 6. List of Waterbodies with an Advisory Status Change for 2025	24

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Nebraska Department of Water, Energy and Environment (DWEE) annually samples fish for tissue analyses as part of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) "Regional Ambient Fish Tissue Monitoring Program" (RAFTMP). The EPA's Region VII laboratory in Kansas City, Kansas conducts the chemical analyses of these tissue samples. Historically samples have been analyzed for four heavy metals, nine pesticides and their breakdown products, and three polychlorinated biphenyl compounds (PCBs). In 2013, EPA informed the Region VII States that with the exception of mercury, future analysis for all other contaminants would be discontinued due to their declining trends and/or non-detects in fish tissue samples. Overall, this is very positive environmental news for this region. While mercury continues to be the major pollutant of concern in fish tissue statewide, numerous locations remain under "fish consumption advisories" for PCBs. The DWEE is committed to continue PCB monitoring at those locations using a contract laboratory.

The EPA's primary monitoring and assessment objectives for the RAFTMP are:

- Provide states with data to answer the question "are the fish safe to eat?"
- Provide states with data needed to assess risk to humans from consuming contaminated fish and to post consumption advisories.
- Measure long-term trends in regional contaminants (e.g., pesticides, metals, PCBs, etc.) and monitor for emerging contaminants of concern.

This report details the data assessment results for fish tissue samples collected in 2025 throughout the Middle Platte and Loup River basins. A total of 123 fish tissue samples were collected from two stream/river and 70 lake/reservoir locations (see Figure 1 and Methods Section for site descriptions).


Currently, Nebraska has 143 state-issued advisories. The primary contaminants of concern in Nebraska fish and most other states continue to be mercury and PCBs. The EPA has issued a nationwide fish consumption advisory regarding mercury in all fish species. Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 years of age are the population most sensitive to the effects of mercury.

This report provides the public with an explanation of the State's fish tissue program. One of the primary goals of the program is to ensure the public has as much information as possible regarding the waterbodies that they use for fishing.

Because fish are a high-quality protein, low in saturated fat, and high in omega-3 fatty acids, anglers should not be discouraged from consuming fish in moderation. In 2013, the Department of Health and Human Services (NDHHS) in cooperation with the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission (NGPC) and DWEE jointly released an informational brochure on safely selecting and preparing locally caught, store bought, and restaurant fish. The brochure can be viewed in English, Spanish, or Vietnamese:

- [Eat Safe Fish in Nebraska](#)
- [Coma Pescado Seguro en Nebraska](#)
- [Ăn Cá An Toàn Trong Nebraska](#)

Figure 1. Statewide Historical and 2025 Middle Platte and Loup River Basin's Fish Tissue Sampling Sites (see Table 1 for site list).



NOTE: Screening sites are waterbodies selected for screening of contaminants of concern in fish tissue at locations that have never been monitored or have not been sampled for a relatively long period of time. Advisory sites are waterbodies that were already under advisory which were re-sampled.

II. INTRODUCTION

The “Regional Ambient Fish Tissue Monitoring Program – 2025 Data Assessment Report” is written to satisfy the federal fiscal year 2025 State of Nebraska – EPA Agreement, as well as provide information to other governmental agencies, professional organizations, and most importantly to the general public. It is important that anglers and others are informed of potential health risks associated with consuming contaminated fish from certain Nebraska waterbodies.

Fish tissue sampling in Nebraska was initiated in the late 1970s, primarily to identify potential pollution concerns throughout the State. Sampling consisted of collecting whole fish samples from major rivers at or near the bottom of their drainage area. In the late 1980s, along with the identification of pollutants, sampling was conducted to determine if there may be a public health concern. Fillet samples were then collected and analyzed as this is the portion of a fish that is most-often consumed. Waters heavily utilized by anglers were generally targeted.

Under guidance from the Region VII EPA, the DWEE, in association with its six-year rotating river basin monitoring schedule (see Figure 2), collects fish from state waters annually. The Region VII EPA laboratory in Kansas City, Kansas, analyzes samples for mercury, and the University of Iowa - Hygienic Laboratory in Iowa City, Iowa conducts the PCB and analyses when necessary.

The EPA’s stated objectives of the RAFTMP are:

1. Provide states with data to answer the question “are the fish safe to eat?”
2. Provide states with data needed to assess risk to humans from consuming contaminated fish and to issue consumption advisories.
3. Measure long-term trends in regional contaminants (e.g., pesticides, metals, PCBs, etc.) and monitor for emerging contaminants of concern.


Waterbodies where RAFTMP sampling has revealed exceedances of health risk criteria and subsequent consumption advisories have been issued; are re-sampled following the rotating basin monitoring schedule. Re-sampled sites will be removed from the advisory list if their respective samples indicate contaminant levels below human health risk criteria.

Currently the NDHHS, in cooperation with the DWEE, the NGPC, and the Nebraska Department of Agriculture (NDA), issues fish consumption advisories for waterbodies where concentrations of contaminants may indicate a human health risk for consumers. Using risk-based calculations, it is assumed that a consumer will ingest a weekly average of eight or more ounces of fish from the waterbody being assessed, every week for over 26 years. This very conservative approach is designed to not underestimate the risks and is referred to as a “reasonable maximum exposure duration” (EPA, 2020). The State issues advisories for mercury levels that equal or exceed 0.215 milligrams of mercury per kilogram of fish tissue, and for other contaminants when the cancer risk estimated equals or exceeds 0.0001 (≥ 1 in 10,000) or when adverse noncancer health effects may be possible from ingesting fish. Although Nebraska does not issue fishing bans, advisories suggest that individuals consume less than an average of one, eight-ounce meal of fish per week from identified waters.

While nearly every state in the U.S. has a fish tissue monitoring program in place, differences exist in the way fish samples are analyzed and assessed between states. These differences create a lack of comparability between states and can cause confusion for people who enjoy fishing in their home state, shared waters, and other states’ waters.

For example, while one state may screen their fish samples for a particular set of contaminants, other states may analyze an entirely different group; and some states will analyze fish tissue only during years when adequate funding is available (EPA, 1999). Differences may also exist in parameter lists generated

Figure 2. DWEE's Six-Year Basin Rotation Monitoring Schedule.



because certain contaminants have regional importance (pesticide usage based on cropping practices), while others (methylmercury) are of national interest. Contaminant lists are also formed based on the variety of industries and their use of chemicals within states. While differences are expected in the contaminant lists submitted for analyses from state to state, there is a strong possibility that several toxicants are overlooked by states due to their obscurity or due to a lack of funding for analytical support. Additionally, some contaminants (e.g., lead) lack reference dose information necessary to determine its toxic effects associated with consuming fish, and assessments are rarely performed.

Like Nebraska, most states are utilizing a risk-based assessment (RBA) approach similar to that used by the EPA. In the assessment process, in addition to determining if a contaminant poses a potential cancer risk, the potential for adverse health effects or noncarcinogenic effects are also estimated. For example, mercury is not considered to be a human carcinogen but exposure to high levels may be associated with adverse effects for the developing nervous system of young children or an unborn baby. Nebraska's "Policy for Issuing Nebraska Fish Consumption Advisories" (NDEQ, 2011) explains the rationale behind, and the process employed to issue fish consumption advisories.

Risk-based assessments utilize standardized equations and estimated exposure parameters, such as ingestion rates and exposure durations, to quantify an individual's risk associated with exposure to a contaminant. These equations result in values that can be compared to published toxicity values generated from exposure studies in animals, and if available from epidemiological studies in humans. Below are the exposure parameters that Nebraska utilizes to estimate potential risk associated with ingestion of fish tissue.

Body Weight (BW) – is important because heavier individuals have the ability to assimilate more contaminants than individuals of smaller stature without experiencing adverse health effects. Therefore, children or adults of small stature are at greater risk when consuming fish at a similar rate as a larger individual. All states assume an overall average for consumer body weight when calculating risk - Nebraska utilizes 154 pounds (70 kg).

Ingestion Rate (IR) – fish ingestion rates of individuals in a population vary greatly and health risks increase with higher ingestion rates. The EPA has identified a value of eight ounces (0.227 kg) of fish fillet per 154 pound (70 kg) as an average weekly meal size for adults for the general populations (EPA, 2000). Nebraska utilizes the eight-ounce average (0.227 kg).

Contaminant Absorption Factor (AF) – suggests how much of a contaminant, once ingested, is absorbed in the human body. Nebraska conservatively uses a factor of 1.0, reflecting complete absorption (i.e., no contaminant loss through storage, cooking, or excretion). Contaminant reduction factors are used by several states (including most Great Lakes States) to reduce PCB concentrations based on meal preparation procedures. States that use reduction factors apply a 50% reduction for PCBs due to removal via filleting away fatty tissue and cooking in a way that allows fat to drip away from the flesh (i.e., grilling, broiling).

Exposure Frequency (EF) – is an estimate of how often an individual is exposed to or is ingesting fish from a particular waterbody. Nebraska conservatively assumes that an individual may ingest fish from the same waterbody weekly.

Exposure Duration (ED) – is an estimate of how long an individual is exposed to or is ingesting fish from a particular waterbody. Nebraska utilizes what is referred to as a “reasonable maximum exposure duration” of 26 years (EPA, 2020). Advisories are issued under this assumption, but shorter exposure durations are more likely.

III. SAMPLING METHODS

The status for each RAFTMP sampling site is categorized into two different types. They are:

- 1) *Screening* – waterbodies selected for screening of contaminants of concern in fish tissue at locations that have never been monitored or have not been sampled for a relatively long period of time.
- 2) *Advisory* – waterbodies that were already under advisory which were re-sampled.

From July through September of 2025, the DWEE collected 123 fish samples from 72 locations. Thirty-two advisory and 40 screening sites were monitored in accordance with the RAFTMP in 2025 throughout the Middle Platte and Loup River basins (see Figure 2). Table 1 identifies each site sampled in 2025, site status (i.e., advisory or screening site), number of species/samples collected, and the parameters analyzed.

In small streams, a backpack or pull-barge electro-fishing units were used to collect fish. To collect fish from larger streams, hoop nets, and/or a small aluminum boat designed for electro-fishing was used. The nets and electro-fishing gear were employed within a reach one mile above to one mile below the designated site location. Lake and reservoir sampling was conducted using larger electro-fishing boats and/or gill nets. Depending on conditions, direct or alternating current was used to shock the fish.

Considerable sampling effort is given to collect tissue samples from as many “angler-targeted” fish species as possible from screening and advisory sites (i.e., large and smallmouth bass, yellow perch, northern pike, walleye, bluegill, white and black crappie, channel and flathead catfish, carp, etc.). To ensure data comparability at advisory sites, it is a priority to collect the fish species that previously exhibited contaminant concentrations above the State’s accepted risk criteria.

Samples collected from screening and advisory sites (see Figure 1) are a composite made up of three to five fish of the same species. Biopsy tissue plugs removed from the dorsal muscle region of each fish were kept for all samples in 2025 for mercury analysis. Size requirements of fish collected for analyses are provided in Table 2. In all samples, the total length of the smallest specimen was not to be less than 75 percent of the total length of the longest specimen.

Length and weight measurements of each fish used in composite samples are recorded on a field sheet. Fillet samples are prepared in the field with the scales removed from scaled fish and skin removed from catfish and bullhead species. Biopsy tissue samples for mercury analysis are collected by scraping the scales away from a small area near each fish’s dorsal fin before removing the plug. Samples are

frozen as soon as possible after collection. The biopsy tissue samples collected for mercury analysis were later analyzed at the Region VII EPA laboratory in Kansas City, Kansas. No samples were collected for PCB analysis in 2025.

Parameter coverage and reporting limits for tissue samples analyzed are listed in Table 3. Because of the higher cost of methylmercury analysis, the EPA Region VII Laboratory only measures for total mercury in fish tissue. Numerous studies have shown that more than 90 percent of the total mercury in fish tissue is methylmercury (EPA, 2009). Because this conservative assumption is protective of human health, Nebraska is supportive of this decision.

Table 1. 2025 Sampling Locations, Site Status, Samples Collected and Parameters Analyzed.

WATERBODY	COUNTY	SITE STATUS	# SPECIES/ SAMPLES COLLECTED	PARAMETERS ANALYZED
Ravenna Lake	Buffalo	Advisory	2	Hg
Bassway Strip Lake # 5 (WMA)	Buffalo	Advisory	1	Hg
Kea Lake (WMA)	Buffalo	Advisory	2	Hg
Kea West Lake (WMA)	Buffalo	Advisory	1	Hg
Cottonmill Lake	Buffalo	Advisory	1	Hg
Wax Axe Lake (SRA)	Buffalo	Advisory	2	Hg
Union Pacific Lake (SRA)	Buffalo	Advisory	2	Hg
Windmill State Park Lake # 1	Buffalo	Advisory	1	Hg
East Odessa Lake (WMA)	Buffalo	Advisory	1	Hg
Archway Lake #1	Buffalo	Advisory	3	Hg
Pressey Pond (WMA)	Custer	Advisory	1	Hg
Ansley City Lake	Custer	Advisory	2	Hg
Melham Park Lake	Custer	Advisory	1	Hg
Gallager Canyon Reservoir (SRA)	Dawson	Advisory	4	Hg
Elwood Reservoir	Gosper	Advisory	3	Hg
Johnson Lake	Gosper	Advisory	4	Hg
Frye Lake (WMA)	Grant	Advisory	1	Hg
Mormon Island Middle Lake (SRA)	Hall	Advisory	2	Hg
L.E. Ray Lake	Hall	Advisory	1	Hg
North Loup SRA Lake	Howard	Advisory	1	Hg
Fort Kearny Lake # 5 (SRA)	Kearney	Advisory	1	Hg
Fort McPherson Lake	Lincoln	Advisory	3	Hg
Pawnee Slough Lake (WMA)	Lincoln	Advisory	1	Hg
Jeffery Reservoir	Lincoln	Advisory	2	Hg
West Brady Lake (WMA)	Lincoln	Advisory	2	Hg
Crystal Lake	Lincoln	Advisory	2	Hg
Maxwell Rest Area NDOT Lake	Lincoln	Advisory	1	Hg

Hg – Mercury

Table continued

Table 1. Continued.

WATERBODY	COUNTY	SITE STATUS	# SPECIES/ SAMPLES COLLECTED	PARAMETERS ANALYZED
Calamus Reservoir	Loup	Advisory	3	Hg
Pawnee Park Pond - East	Platte	Advisory	2	Hg
Sherman Reservoir	Sherman	Advisory	4	Hg
Davis Creek Reservoir	Valley	Advisory	2	Hg
Pibel Lake	Wheeler	Advisory	3	Hg
Willow Lake (WMA)	Brown	Screening	2	Hg
Coot Shallows Lake (WMA)	Buffalo	Screening	2	Hg
Sandy Channel Lake # 8 (SRA)	Buffalo	Screening	1	Hg
Sandy Channel Lake # 4 (SRA)	Buffalo	Screening	1	Hg
Sandy Channel Lake # 2 (SRA)	Buffalo	Screening	1	Hg
Blue Hole Lake (WMA)	Buffalo	Screening	1	Hg
South Loup River	Buffalo	Screening	1	Hg
Yanney Park Lake	Buffalo	Screening	3	Hg
Rat and Beaver Lake (WMA)	Cherry	Screening	1	Hg
Arnold Lake	Custer	Screening	1	Hg
Dogwood Lake East (WMA)	Dawson	Screening	2	Hg
Darr Lake (WMA)	Dawson	Screening	1	Hg
Plum Creek Canyon Reservoir	Dawson	Screening	3	Hg
West Cozad Lake (WMA)	Dawson	Screening	1	Hg
Cozad Lake (WMA)	Dawson	Screening	1	Hg
East Gothenburg Lake (WMA)	Dawson	Screening	1	Hg
Midway Canyon Reservoir	Dawson	Screening	3	Hg
Lake Helen	Dawson	Screening	1	Hg
Willow Island Lake (WMA)	Dawson	Screening	2	Hg
Burwell Lake (Ladybird)	Garfield	Screening	1	Hg
Avocet WMA Lake	Grant	Screening	1	Hg
Cheyenne Lake (SRA)	Hall	Screening	1	Hg
Eagle Scout Lake	Hall	Screening	1	Hg
Mormon Island West Lake (SRA)	Hall	Screening	3	Hg
West Wood River Lake (WMA)	Hall	Screening	1	Hg
Grand Island Sucks Lake	Hall	Screening	2	Hg
Grand Island Pier Lake	Hall	Screening	2	Hg
Farwell South Reservoir	Howard	Screening	2	Hg
Fort Kearny Lake # 6 (SRA)	Kearney	Screening	1	Hg

Hg – Mercury

Table continued

Table 1. Continued.

WATERBODY	COUNTY	SITE STATUS	# SPECIES/ SAMPLES COLLECTED	PARAMETERS ANALYZED
Fremont Slough (WMA)	Lincoln	Screening	2	Hg
West Gothenburg Lake (WMA)	Lincoln	Screening	2	Hg
Brady Interchange Lake (WMA)	Lincoln	Screening	2	Hg
West Maxwell Lake (WMA)	Lincoln	Screening	1	Hg
Central City Lake	Merrick	Screening	1	Hg
Silver Creek City Pond	Merrick	Screening	1	Hg
Loup Power Canal	Nance	Screening	2	Hg
Sock Lake	Platte	Screening	2	Hg
Bessey Pond	Thomas	Screening	2	Hg
Auble Pond	Valley	Screening	1	Hg
Lake Ericson	Wheeler	Screening	1	Hg

Hg – Mercury

Table 2. Length Requirements for Fish Collected During RAFTMP Sampling.

FISH SPECIES	SIZE (Total Length)	FISH SPECIES	SIZE (Total Length)
Bluegill	6 – 8 inches	Largemouth Bass	15 – 20 inches
Buffalo	15 – 24 inches	Northern Pike	24 – 30 inches
Bullhead	8 – 12 inches	Sauger / Hybrids	12 – 18 inches
Common Carp	14 – 21 inches	Smallmouth Bass	10 – 18 inches
Channel Catfish	14 – 21 inches	Trout (any species)	10 – 14 inches
Crappie (black/white)	8 – 12 inches	Walleye	14 – 20 inches
Flathead Catfish	18 – 24 inches	White Bass	10 – 12 inches
Freshwater Drum	10 – 18 inches	-	-

Table 3. Parameter Analysis and Target Reporting Limits of Fish Tissue Samples Analyzed by the EPA Region VII Laboratory and State Hygienic Laboratory – University of Iowa.

PARAMETER	TARGET REPORTING LIMIT (mg/kg)
<i>EPA Region VII Laboratory</i>	
Mercury <i>(Analysis by Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption)</i>	0.0181
<i>State Hygienic Laboratory - University of Iowa</i>	
PCB-1248	0.020
PCB-1254	0.020
PCB-1260	0.020
Dieldrin <i>(Analysis by Gas Chromatograph/Electron Capture - EPA 8082)</i>	0.005

IV. RISK ASSESSMENT

The EPA's risk assessment methodology (EPA, 1989) is utilized by Nebraska for evaluating potential health risks associated with the ingestion of fish. The EPA method includes the following four steps:

1. Hazard Identification – A qualitative evaluation of the potential for a contaminant to cause an adverse health effect (i.e., birth defect, cancer) in animals or humans.
2. Dose-Response Assessment – A quantitative estimation of the relationship between the dose of a substance and the probability of an adverse health effect.
3. Exposure Assessment – The characterization of an individual's magnitude, frequency, and duration of exposure.
4. Risk Characterization – A combination of the dose-response and exposure assessment steps that provides a quantitative estimation of the risk for the exposed individual.

Hazard Identification

Contaminants selected by the EPA for assessment were determined based on known usage in the State and/or past detection in the State's waterbodies, and because exposure at high levels may be associated with adverse health effects (as indicated in EPA's IRIS - Integrated Risk Information System database) (EPA, 2025a). The only contaminant included in the risk assessment for screening sites was mercury. When sites are under advisory for PCBs they are screened for three Aroclors (1248, 1254, and 1260). No samples were collected for PCB analysis in 2025.

Dose-Response Assessment

Two toxicity values are utilized to determine at what dose or level adverse noncarcinogenic effects and/or cancer may be anticipated from exposure to a contaminant. The concentration of a contaminant

found in fish tissue is used to determine an intake (equivalent to an administered dose) for a consumer and this value is compared to its corresponding toxicity value(s) to determine if any risk may be present.

The first is referred to as an oral Reference Dose (RfD). A reference dose is an estimate of a daily exposure level for an individual to a contaminant that is likely not to be associated with adverse health effects. Chronic RfDs that are used in this report are designed to be protective for long-term exposure to a contaminant (seven years to a lifetime) and are protective for even sensitive populations such as small children. It should be noted that for many noncarcinogenic effects, the body has protective mechanisms that must be overcome before the adverse effect appears. In other words, no adverse effect is anticipated until a certain level of exposure to a contaminant is reached, referred to as a threshold level.

The second toxicity value utilized is referred to a Cancer Slope Factor (CSF). A CSF is an upper-bound estimate of the probability of a response (cancer) associated with the per unit intake of a contaminant over a lifetime. For carcinogens, it is believed that there is no level of exposure that is not associated with, however small, a probability of some carcinogenic response. This concept is referred to as non-threshold.

It should be noted that varying degrees of uncertainty surround the assessment of the adverse health effects in an exposed individual. For example, there is uncertainty in the dose-response data from experiments on animal populations that are identical, used to predict effects in a diverse human population which display a wide range of sensitivities, and extrapolation of the data from high dose animal studies to low dose human environmental exposure. Because of this, the EPA's risk assessment guidance recommends a conservative approach to data interpretation, resulting in toxicity values that are more likely to overestimate the true risk posed by exposure to a chemical.

Table 4 presents the contaminants that were assessed for in the State's waterbodies. The oral RfDs and CSFs used for these assessments are from the EPA's regional screening levels (RSLs) summary table (EPA, 2025b).

Table 4. Fish Tissue Contaminants and Associated Risk Assessment Parameters - Reference Dose (RfD) and Cancer Slope Factors (CSF) (EPA, 2025b).

CONTAMINANT	RfD (mg/kg/day)	CSF (mg/kg/day)
Aroclor 1248 – (PCB-1248)	NA	2.0
Aroclor 1254 – (PCB-1254)	0.00002	2.0
Aroclor 1260 – (PCB-1260)	NA	2.0
Methylmercury	0.0001	NA

NA – Not applicable or not available

Exposure Assessment

In the exposure assessment, several estimates and assumptions are required to describe the magnitude, frequency, duration, and routes of exposure to a contaminant. The estimates and assumptions that Nebraska has selected include the following:

- Consumption of contaminated fish tissue was the only route of exposure considered. Since the assessment only focuses on risk from contaminated fish, exposure to contaminants in surface water and sediments were not assessed.

- The detected contaminant concentration in the fish tissue assessed was assumed to be the concentration consumed. This approach is very conservative as some of the contaminant is likely lost during meal preparation and cooking, and some is excreted from the body without effect. It should be noted that the laboratory can only accurately quantify the concentration of a contaminant above a certain limit referred to as a reporting limit (RL). Contaminants not detected - or below the analytical RL are not included in the risk assessment calculations.
- For the purposes of advisory issuance, a 154 lb (70 kg.) average body weight was used, consistent with EPA guidance (EPA, 2000).
- The average weekly meal size identified for a 154 lb (70 kg) adult in the general population is eight ounces (0.227 kg) of uncooked fish fillet (EPA, 2000). For the purposes of advisory issuance, Nebraska uses this eight ounce weekly average meal ingestion rate.

Results of the dose-response and exposure assessments are combined to characterize human health risks. Estimated intakes for contaminants assessed are determined using the equation below:

$$\text{Intake (mg/kg/day)} = \frac{(\text{CC})(\text{IR})(\text{EF})(\text{ED})(\text{AF})}{(\text{BW})(\text{AT})}, \text{ where}$$

CC = Contaminant Concentration in fish tissue - mg/kg

* IR = Ingestion Rate - weekly 8 oz. (0.227 kg)

EF = Exposure Frequency -52 weeks/year

*ED = Exposure Duration - 26 years

AF = Absorption Factor - 1.0 (total absorption)

*BW = Body Weight -154 lbs. (70 kg)

*ATnc = Averaging Time -1,560 weeks/26 years for noncarcinogenic (nc) effects

* Note: Advisory determinations were based on a 154 lb (70 kg) consumer ingesting 8 oz. (0.227 kg) weekly meal portions over a 26 year exposure duration. Carcinogenic effects are still averaged over a lifetime of 70 years (3,640 weeks) because it is assumed cancer can develop at any time during one's lifetime, even after the exposure to the carcinogen has ended.

Risk Characterization

Intakes estimated in the previous step are then compared to published toxicity values for each contaminant identified. As mentioned previously, the toxicity value utilized to assess adverse noncarcinogenic effects is the oral Reference Dose (RfD). The intake is divided by this value to determine a Hazard Quotient (HQ) for the contaminant.

$$\text{Hazard Quotient (HQ)} = \text{Intake (mg/kg-day)} / \text{RfD (mg/kg-day)}$$

If more than one contaminant is present in the fish tissue, the HQs are summed to derive a Hazard Index (HI). If the HI is less than 1.0, then adverse noncarcinogenic effects are not anticipated. If the HI equals or exceeds 1.0, an advisory is issued.

For a contaminant that may also be associated with a Cancer Risk (CR), the estimated intake is multiplied by its specific Cancer Slope Factor (see Table 4).

$$\text{Cancer Risk (CR)} = \text{Intake (mg/kg-day)} \times \text{CSF (mg/kg-day)}^{-1}$$

The resulting CR estimate represents the probability of an individual developing cancer during their lifetime as a result of exposure to the potential carcinogen. Risk estimates are summed if more than one potential carcinogen is present in fish tissue. Advisories are issued if the estimated CR equals or exceeds 0.0001 (1 in 10,000). The current CR estimate for women in the United States for all cancer types is 1 in 3 and for men is 1 in 2 (ACS, 2010).

While mercury (methylmercury) is a contaminant accounted for in the HI, Nebraska also utilizes a fish tissue residue criterion (TRC) in place of a water column criterion for the protection of human health. This criterion was established based on the EPA's risk-based equation (EPA, 2001) calculated as:

$$TRC = \frac{BW \times RfD}{FI}, \quad \text{where}$$

TRC = "fish" tissue residue criterion in mg/kg

BW = body weight: 154 lbs. (70 kg)

RfD = reference dose of 0.0001 mg/kg body weight/day

FI = fish intake: 8 oz. (0.227 kg) weekly (equal to 0.0324 kg/day)

The resulting TRC represents the mercury (0.215 mg/kg) concentration in fish tissue that should not be exceeded on the basis of a consumption rate of eight ounces (0.227 kg) per week. Advisories are issued if the mercury concentration in fish tissue equals or exceeds the TRC of 0.215 mg/kg. This criterion is more stringent than EPA's recommended value of 0.30 mg/kg because Nebraska utilizes a higher consumption rate, eight ounces (0.227 kg) per week as compared to EPA's equivalence of 5.75 ounces (0.163 kg) per week.

V. CRITERIA FOR ISSUING A FISH CONSUMPTION ADVISORY

Authority

At the federal level, both the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and EPA have jurisdictional authority and roles relating to the regulation and control of toxic or deleterious substances in fish and shellfish. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) is the principal authority for both the FDA and EPA to take action in regulating the safety of fish as a human food source. Under the FFDCA, federal action can be taken to prevent fish that are unsafe or unfit for human consumption from moving in interstate commerce. However, federal jurisdiction does not extend to fish that are not in interstate commerce. It is left up to each state to protect the health of its citizens by controlling and regulating fish consumption from local fisheries within the state.

Under the FFDCA, the FDA regulation of contaminants has proceeded through the use of action levels that serve as guidance in evaluating contaminants in fish. However, these levels may not be appropriate for states to use in regulating the consumption of contaminated fish since action levels are based on national needs and national fish consumption rates, and consumption rates by local fishermen may not reflect national averages. The action levels also considered economic impacts to commercial industries when they were developed.

In Nebraska, the NDHHS has primary responsibility for issuing public health advisories. Because fish consumption advisories involve other agencies, the NDHHS will issue advisories in collaboration with the DWEE, NGPC, and NDA.

Health Risk Assessment Method

The EPA's risk assessment methods (EPA, 1989) were used in this report to assess potential human health risks from exposure to contaminants in fish tissue. When the estimated cancer risk equals or exceeds 0.0001 (≥ 1 in 10,000) or when adverse noncancer health effects may be possible from ingesting fish (Hazard Index ≥ 1.0), advisories are issued. Advisories are also issued for mercury levels that equal or exceed 0.215 milligrams of mercury per kilogram of fish tissue.

Sampling Location Requirements

Fish tissue samples are collected annually from selected rivers and lakes in accordance with the RAFTMP's monitoring and assessment objectives, and follow Nebraska's six-year rotating basin monitoring schedule (Figure 2). Sites where previous RAFTMP sampling has revealed high levels of contaminants in fish tissue and advisories have been issued are re-sampled every six years. If conditions have improved, the advisories are removed and the site will be considered for re-sampling in the future.

Screening sites have historically been selected based on the angling pressure they receive and location within one of the targeted river basins. This approach is still followed, but since 2006 the RAFTMP has allowed for sampling of additional sites across a wider variety of resource classes (e.g., small to large streams, rivers, lakes and reservoirs, including those in urban areas).

Advisory Criteria

The public is made aware of health risks through an advisory issued by the NDHHS and published on the DWEE and NGPC websites. Advisories are issued for specific waterbodies when fish tissue analyzed (fillets and/or biopsy plugs from 3-5 fish samples of a single species) are found to:


- 1) have mercury concentrations ≥ 0.215 mg/kg; or
- 2) when ingested may be associated with adverse health effects, a Hazard Index (summation of Hazard Quotients) ≥ 1.0 ; or
- 3) when ingested may be associated with an excess Cancer Risk ≥ 1 in 10,000.

Although advisories are issued for only the fish species analyzed, it should be noted that other species of fish inhabiting the same waterbody, may bioconcentrate similar levels of contaminants. In rivers and streams, advisory issuances are for segments of that waterbody as defined in Title 117 – Nebraska Surface Water Quality Standards (NDEQ, 2019). Stream segments define specific portions of streams which are relatively homogeneous in regard to their physical conditions (e.g., flow, temperature, substrate, channel characteristics) (NDEQ, 1992). Advisory issuances for lakes/reservoirs always pertain to the entire waterbody. The fish species collected, and risk criteria violated are listed in the advisory.

Once an advisory is issued for a waterbody it will remain in effect until additional sampling of that same fish species indicates that a health concern no longer exists. If a sample collected from an advisory waterbody exceeds risk criteria, the advisory will remain in effect for at least another six years, or until it is re-sampled. This process will repeat itself if the samples continue to exceed criteria. If the single fillet/biopsy plug sample collected from an advisory waterbody is below risk criteria, then the advisory will be removed. Figure 3 provides a diagram of the processes involved in assigning and removing fish consumption advisories in Nebraska.

When a waterbody under advisory is renovated (i.e., fishery purposely killed) or subjected to a natural or human induced die-off, the fish species that initially triggered an advisory may no longer exist. If a determination is made that an advisory fish species is no longer present, the collection and analysis of an alternative fish species may be required to assess current conditions. Advisory listings/removals shall then be based on the current fishery existing in these waterbodies.

Figure 3. Monitoring Scheme for the Nebraska Fish Tissue Monitoring Program.



¹ Sampling scheme applies to all screening and advisory sites; single fillet/biopsy plug sample – comprised of 3-5 fish/sample of a single species – often >5 fish/sample are necessary for bluegill, crappie, etc., due to size.

² The *Risk Criteria* established by the Nebraska Fish Tissue Advisory Committee include fish tissue that: (1) are found to have mercury concentrations ≥ 0.215 mg/kg, (2) have contaminant concentrations that may be associated with adverse health effects (Hazard Index ≥ 1.0) or (3) may be associated with an excess Cancer Risk ≥ 1 in 10,000 when ingested.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2025 Sampling Effort and Purpose

RAFTMP sampling is conducted to examine trends in fish tissue contamination and to identify potential human health concerns associated with fish consumption. In 2025, the DWEE collected a total of 123 samples from 72 locations. Forty-nine sites were in the Middle Platte River basin (Figure 1) and 23 in the Loup basin. At the 32 advisory and 40 screening sites sampled, tissue samples from one to 4 species were collected depending on what could be obtained (See Table 1). In all, fish were collected from two different stream/river and 70 lake/reservoir locations.

Contaminants of Concern

Methylmercury and PCBs continue to be the contaminants of primary concern in Nebraska fish. Since fish are an important part of a healthy diet and the public are encouraged to consume them, it is also important to protect individuals from consuming large quantities of fish that have high contaminant levels. The health impacts of ingestion of methylmercury and PCBs are discussed below.

Methylmercury – Mercury occurs naturally at low levels in rocks, soil, sediments, air, and water. In addition, mercury can be released into the environment from mining operations, sanitary landfills, fossil fuel combustion, municipal refuse incineration, industrial waste discharges, and from certain fungicides. Mercury occurs in aquatic systems in three forms: elemental (metallic), organic (methylated), and inorganic (mercurous and mercuric salts) compounds. The organic form, methylmercury (Me-Hg), is the most toxic to both aquatic organisms and humans. In the environment, elemental mercury is oxidized to inorganic mercury that is then converted into Me-Hg by certain microorganisms. Mercury poses a threat to humans as it is stored in the tissues of aquatic organisms in the methylated form (EPA, 1995). Fish absorb Me-Hg from aquatic organisms they eat, and from the water passing over their gills. Predacious fish such as walleye, northern pike, and largemouth bass reside at the top of the aquatic food chain and are prone to exhibiting higher Me-Hg concentrations than less predacious fish such as carp or suckers. Long-term exposure, even to small background concentrations, will lead to higher concentrations in the flesh. Therefore, large fish typically have higher mercury concentrations than small fish.

Exposure to high levels of mercury have been shown to adversely affect developing nervous system (EPA, 2001). Women of child-bearing age, pregnant women, and children less than 15 years of age are the targeted population of concern. Although mercury is included in the calculation of the Hazard Index because of its prevalence in the environment and the adverse effects that may be associated with exposure, the State has adopted an action level of 0.215 mg/kg for mercury (NDEQ, 2019). Currently, there are no known methods by which one can effectively reduce mercury levels in fish tissue.

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) – PCBs are a class of aromatic organic compounds that were produced and marketed in the United States beginning in 1929. PCBs are represented by a group of 209 individual chemical compounds referred to as congeners. Prior to 1971, PCBs were used as plasticizers, heat transfer fluids, hydraulic fluids, lubricants, and wax extenders. Since 1971, PCBs have been limited to use in closed electrical systems such as capacitors and transformers because of their insulating properties. Although PCB production was discontinued in the U.S. in 1977, PCBs are still present in old transformers and capacitors. Virtually insoluble in water, PCB compounds are readily soluble in lipids and are stored in areas such as the liver, fat, breast milk, and skin. Bioconcentration factors for fish have been documented to occur from 3,000 to 247,000 times ambient levels (EPA, 1980).

Commercially, PCBs were sold as mixtures of individual congeners; most of these mixtures were sold under the trade name Aroclor. Aroclor PCB mixtures may consist of over one hundred individual congeners and are named based on the amount of chlorine in them. As the chlorine content increases, the compound becomes more stable and becomes increasingly difficult to break down.

It is the highly chlorinated PCB congeners which are more readily detected in fish tissue samples due to their persistence in the environment. Nebraska analyzes PCBs for three congeners - PCB-1248, 1254, and 1260. PCB-1260 is the most highly chlorinated congener and PCB-1248 is the least chlorinated. PCB-1254 and 1260 are the most frequently detected in Nebraska fish.

Since PCBs are stored in a fish's fatty tissue and organs, there are effective means by which consumers can reduce their PCB intake. The best approach is to trim away all visible fat from the fillet, and grill, broil, or bake the fillets in such a way that any remaining fat is allowed to drain or drip away.

Risk Assessment Results

Table 5 summarizes the findings of the 2025 RAFTMP analysis. This includes the 2025 screening and previous advisory site locations. Table 5 also highlights the sample locations, the fish species collected and shows where Nebraska Risk Criteria were exceeded (bold text).

Table 5. Fish Tissue Risk Assessment Results for Nebraska Streams and Lakes Monitored in 2025.

WATERBODY	WATER-BODY ID	COUNTY	FISH SPECIES	CANCER RISK (≥0.0001)	HAZARD INDEX (≥1.0)	MERCURY CONC. (≥0.215 mg/kg)
PREVIOUS ADVISORY LOCATIONS						
Ravenna Lake	LO4-L0010	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.165
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.127
Bassway Strip Lake # 5 (WMA)	MP2-L0190	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.296
Kea Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0320	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.538
			Yellow Perch ²	NA	NA	0.0909
Kea West Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0340	Buffalo	Smallmouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.313
			Largemouth Bass ^{1,4}	NA	NA	NA
Cottonmill Lake	MP2-L0360	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.352
War Axe Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0120	Buffalo	Smallmouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.363
			Walleye ²	NA	NA	0.440
Windmill State Park Lake # 1	MP2-L0170	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.480
East Odessa Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0380	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.184
Union Pacific Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0390	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.195
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.200
Archway Lake #1	MP2-LXXXX	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.415
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.118
			Black Crappiel ²	NA	NA	0.084
Pressey Pond (WMA)	LO4-L0045	Custer	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.331
Ansley City Lake	LO4-L0030	Custer	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.198
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.172
Melham Park Lake	LO4-L0040	Custer	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.361
Gallager Canyon Reservoir (SRA)	MP2-L0570	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.164
			Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.051
			Flathead Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.109
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.155
Elwood Reservoir	MP2-L0540	Gosper	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.375
			Walleye ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.472
			Yellow Perch ²	NA	NA	0.144

Table continued

1 – Advisory Species, 2 – Only Mercury Analyzed, 3 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, 4 – Species Not Sampled, 5 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, NA – Not Assessed, RL – Reporting Limit

NOTE: Boldface type indicates risk criteria were exceeded. Values appearing in the Cancer Risk and Hazard Index columns were derived by summing the Hazard Quotients and cancer risk estimates for each contaminant found in the fish samples analyzed. Cancer risk estimates expressed as <0.0001 were below State criteria. Waterbodies where two trophic level species (i.e., bottom feeder and predator) were collected, the “predator” sample was only assessed for mercury per EPA procedures.

NOTE: The DWEE’s Policy for Issuing Fish Consumption Advisories uses an 8-oz weekly meal portion combined with a consumer body weight of 70 kg (154 lbs.), an absorption factor of 1.0 and an exposure period of 30 years for calculating health risks (NDEQ, 2011). Carcinogenic effects are still averaged over a lifetime of 70 years because it is assumed cancer can develop at any time during one’s lifetime, even after the exposure to the carcinogen has ended.

Table 5. Continued.

WATERBODY	WATER-BODY ID	COUNTY	FISH SPECIES	CANCER RISK (≥0.0001)	HAZARD INDEX (≥1.0)	MERCURY CONC. (≥0.215 mg/kg)
PREVIOUS ADVISORY LOCATIONS – CONT.						
Johnson Lake	MP2-L0520	Gosper	White Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.211
			Smallmouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.223
			Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.103
			Walleye ²	NA	NA	0.045
Frye Lake (WMA)	LO3-L0070	Grant	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.496
Mormon Island Middle Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0060	Hall	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.189
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.062
L.E. Ray Lake	MP2-L0061	Hall	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.427
North Loup SRA Lake	LO2-L0010	Howard	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.260
Fort Kearny Lake # 5 (SRA)	MP2-L0290	Kearney	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.404
Fort McPherson Lake	MP2-L0770	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.188
			Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.036
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.061
Pawnee Slough Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0795	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.150
Jeffery Reservoir	MP2-L0710	Lincoln	Smallmouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.231
			White Crappiel ²	NA	NA	0.143
			Largemouth Bass ^{1,4}	NA	NA	NA
West Brady Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0720	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.093
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.038
Crystal Lake	MP2-L0820	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.323
			Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.106
Maxwell Rest Area NDOT Lake	MP2-L0750	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.168
Calamus Reservoir	LO2-L0050	Loup	Common Carp ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.450
			White Bass ²	NA	NA	0.370
			Walleye ²	NA	NA	0.265
Pawnee Park Pond - East	LO1-L0010	Platte	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.231
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.098

Table continued

1 – Advisory Species, 2 – Only Mercury Analyzed, 3 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, 4 – Species Not Sampled, 5 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, NA – Not Assessed, RL- Reporting Limit

NOTE: Boldface type indicates risk criteria were exceeded. Values appearing in the Cancer Risk and Hazard Index columns were derived by summing the Hazard Quotients and cancer risk estimates for each contaminant found in the fish samples analyzed. Cancer risk estimates expressed as <0.0001 were below State criteria. Waterbodies where two trophic level species (i.e., bottom feeder and predator) were collected, the “predator” sample was only assessed for mercury per EPA procedures.

NOTE: The DWEE’s Policy for Issuing Fish Consumption Advisories uses an 8-oz weekly meal portion combined with a consumer body weight of 70 kg (154 lbs.), an absorption factor of 1.0 and an exposure period of 30 years for calculating health risks (NDEQ, 2011). Carcinogenic effects are still averaged over a lifetime of 70 years because it is assumed cancer can develop at any time during one’s lifetime, even after the exposure to the carcinogen has ended.

Table 5. Continued.

WATERBODY	WATER-BODY ID	COUNTY	FISH SPECIES	CANCER RISK (≥0.0001)	HAZARD INDEX (≥1.0)	MERCURY CONC. (≥0.215 mg/kg)
PREVIOUS ADVISORY LOCATIONS – CONT.						
Sherman Reservoir	LO3-L0020	Sherman	White Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.420
			Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.540
			Walleye ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.263
			White Crappie ²	NA	NA	0.246
Davis Creek Reservoir	LO2-L0015	Valley	Common Carp ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.429
			Walleye ²	NA	NA	0.305
Pibel Lake	LO1-L0130	Wheeler	Largemouth Bass ^{1,2}	NA	NA	0.315
			Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.026
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.091
SCREENING LOCATIONS						
Willow Lake (WMA)	LO2-L0055	Brown	Walleye Hybrid ²	NA	NA	0.041
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.201
Coot Shallows Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0400	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.142
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.026
Sandy Channel Lake # 8 (SRA)	MP2-L0420	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.184
Sandy Channel Lake # 4 (SRA)	MP2-L0420	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.444
Sandy Channel Lake # 2 (SRA)	MP2-L0420	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.127
Blue Hole Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0430	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.186
South Loup River	LO4-10000	Buffalo	Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.107
Yanney Park Lake	MP2-L0335	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.121
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.027
			Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.042
Rat and Beaver Lake (WMA)	LO2-L0260	Cherry	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.175
Arnold Lake	LO4-L0050	Custer	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.211
Dogwood Lake East (WMA)	MP2-L0460	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.190
			Black Crappie ²	NA	NA	0.044
Darr Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0550	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.533

Table continued

1 – Advisory Species, 2 – Only Mercury Analyzed, 3 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, 4 – Species Not Sampled, 5 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, NA – Not Assessed, RL- Reporting Limit

NOTE: Boldface type indicates risk criteria were exceeded. Values appearing in the Cancer Risk and Hazard Index columns were derived by summing the Hazard Quotients and cancer risk estimates for each contaminant found in the fish samples analyzed. Cancer risk estimates expressed as <0.0001 were below State criteria. Waterbodies where two trophic level species (i.e., bottom feeder and predator) were collected, the “predator” sample was only assessed for mercury per EPA procedures.

NOTE: The DWEE’s Policy for Issuing Fish Consumption Advisories uses an 8-oz weekly meal portion combined with a consumer body weight of 70 kg (154 lbs.), an absorption factor of 1.0 and an exposure period of 30 years for calculating health risks (NDEQ, 2011). Carcinogenic effects are still averaged over a lifetime of 70 years because it is assumed cancer can develop at any time during one’s lifetime, even after the exposure to the carcinogen has ended.

Table 5. Continued.

WATERBODY	WATER-BODY ID	COUNTY	FISH SPECIES	CANCER RISK (≥0.0001)	HAZARD INDEX (≥1.0)	MERCURY CONC. (≥0.215 mg/kg)
SCREENING LOCATIONS – CONT.						
Plum Creek Canyon Reservoir	MP2-L0560	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.053
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.126
			Flathead Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.129
West Cozad Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0580	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.306
Cozad Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0590	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.093
East Gothenburg Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0681	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.223
Midway Canyon Reservoir	MP2-L0620	Dawson	Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.102
			Flathead Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.156
			Smallmouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.095
Lake Helen	MP2-L0650	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.756
Willow Island Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0610	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.109
			Common Carp ²	NA	NA	0.036
Burwell Lake (Ladybird)	LO2-L0030	Garfield	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.269
Avocet WMA Lake	LO3-LXXXX	Grant	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.188
Cheyenne Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0100	Hall	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.104
Eagle Scout Lake	MP1-L0120	Hall	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.087
Mormon Island West Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0070	Hall	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.194
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.124
			Yellow Perch ²	NA	NA	0.137
West Wood River Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0110	Hall	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.114
Grand Island Sucks Lake	MP2-L0040	Hall	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.093
			Black Crappie ²	NA	NA	0.052
Grand Island Pier Lake	MP2-L0020	Hall	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.269
			Black Crappie ²	NA	NA	0.129
Farwell South Reservoir	LO3-L0010	Howard	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.375
			White Crappie ²	NA	NA	0.101
Fort Kearny Lake # 6 (SRA)	MP2-L0300	Kearney	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.472

Table continued

1 – Advisory Species, 2 – Only Mercury Analyzed, 3 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, 4 – Species Not Sampled, 5 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, NA – Not Assessed, RL- Reporting Limit

NOTE: Boldface type indicates risk criteria were exceeded. Values appearing in the Cancer Risk and Hazard Index columns were derived by summing the Hazard Quotients and cancer risk estimates for each contaminant found in the fish samples analyzed. Cancer risk estimates expressed as <0.0001 were below State criteria. Waterbodies where two trophic level species (i.e., bottom feeder and predator) were collected, the “predator” sample was only assessed for mercury per EPA procedures.

NOTE: The DWEE’s Policy for Issuing Fish Consumption Advisories uses an 8-oz weekly meal portion combined with a consumer body weight of 70 kg (154 lbs.), an absorption factor of 1.0 and an exposure period of 30 years for calculating health risks (NDEQ, 2011). Carcinogenic effects are still averaged over a lifetime of 70 years because it is assumed cancer can develop at any time during one’s lifetime, even after the exposure to the carcinogen has ended.

Table 5. Continued.

WATERBODY	WATER-BODY ID	COUNTY	FISH SPECIES	CANCER RISK (≥0.0001)	HAZARD INDEX (≥1.0)	MERCURY CONC. (≥0.215 mg/kg)
SCREENING LOCATIONS – CONT.						
Fremont Slough (WMA)	MP2-L0840	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.548
			Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.052
West Gothenburg Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0680	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.115
			Redear Sunfish ²	NA	NA	0.061
Brady Interchange Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0690	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.062
			Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.046
West Maxwell Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0800	Lincoln	Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.038
Central City Lake	MP1-LXXXX	Merrick	Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.018
Silver Creek City Pond	MP1-L0015	Merrick	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.079
Loup Power Canal	LO1-20200	Nance	Channel Catfish ²	NA	NA	0.075
			Freshwater Drum ²	NA	NA	0.092
Sock Lake	LO1-LXXXX	Platte	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.282
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.081
Bessey Pond	LO3-L0050	Thomas	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.156
			Bluegill ²	NA	NA	0.073
Auble Pond	LO2-L0020	Valley	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.235
Lake Ericson	LO1-L0140	Wheeler	Largemouth Bass ²	NA	NA	0.287

1 – Advisory Species, 2 – Only Mercury Analyzed, 3 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, 4 – Species Not Sampled, 5 – Mercury and PCBs Analyzed, NA – Not Assessed, RL- Reporting Limit

NOTE: Boldface type indicates risk criteria were exceeded. Values appearing in the Cancer Risk and Hazard Index columns were derived by summing the Hazard Quotients and cancer risk estimates for each contaminant found in the fish samples analyzed. Cancer risk estimates expressed as <0.0001 were below State criteria. Waterbodies where two trophic level species (i.e., bottom feeder and predator) were collected, the “predator” sample was only assessed for mercury per EPA procedures.

NOTE: The DWEE’s Policy for Issuing Fish Consumption Advisories uses an 8-oz weekly meal portion combined with a consumer body weight of 70 kg (154 lbs.), an absorption factor of 1.0 and an exposure period of 30 years for calculating health risks (NDEQ, 2011). Carcinogenic effects are still averaged over a lifetime of 70 years because it is assumed cancer can develop at any time during one’s lifetime, even after the exposure to the carcinogen has ended.

VII. SUMMARY

A list of Nebraska streams and lakes monitored in 2025 with an advisory status change are presented in Table 6. A summary of the 2025 risk assessment results are as follows:

1. One hundred and twenty-three fish tissue samples were collected and analyzed from 72 sites throughout the Loup and Middle Platte River basins in 2025.
2. Tissue samples collected from 37 of those sites did not exceed any of the State’s risk criteria and will not come under advisory.
3. Samples collected at 13 screening sites exceeded the risk criteria for mercury.

4. Thirty-two advisory sites were also monitored in 2025. Advisories will be maintained at 22 of the 32 sites based on contaminant levels still exceeding risk criteria and/or the target species was not collected.
5. In all, 13 new waterbodies monitored in 2025 will come under advisory, 22 sites that were already under an advisory remained listed, and 10 sites were removed.
6. Combined with the previous year's assessment, 143 Nebraska waterbodies (five stream segments and 138 lakes) are now under a fish consumption advisory (refer to *Appendix A* for the complete list of advisory sites and location maps).

Table 6. List of Waterbodies with an Advisory Status Change for 2025.

WATERBODY	WATER-BODY ID	COUNTY	FISH SPECIES	ADVISORY ACTION	LISTING REASON ¹
ADVISORY LOCATIONS					
Ravenna Lake	LO4-L0010	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Kea West Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0340	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ⁴ / Smallmouth Bass ^{1,3}	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass and add Smallmouth Bass	Mercury
War Axe Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0120	Buffalo	Smallmouth Bass ¹ / Walleye ¹	Maintain mercury advisory for Smallmouth Bass and add Walleye	Mercury
East Odessa Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0380	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Union Pacific Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0390	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Ansley City Lake	LO4-L0030	Custer	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Gallager Canyon Reservoir (SRA)	MP2-L0570	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Johnson Lake	MP2-L0520	Gosper	White Bass ⁴ / Smallmouth Bass ^{1,3}	Remove advisory for White Bass and add Smallmouth Bass	Mercury
Mormon Island Middle Lake (SRA)	MP2-L0060	Hall	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Fort McPherson Lake	MP2-L0770	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Pawnee Slough Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0795	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Jeffery Reservoir	MP2-L0710	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ⁴ / Smallmouth Bass ^{1,3}	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass and add Smallmouth Bass	Mercury
West Brady Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0720	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria
Maxwell Rest Area NDOT Lake	MP2-L0750	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ²	Remove advisory for Largemouth Bass	<Risk Criteria

Table continued

*Refer to *Appendix A* for the complete list of advisory sites and location maps.

¹ Sites listed with H.I. (Hazard Index), C.R. (Cancer Risk), or Mercury had contaminant levels above human health risk criteria.

² Listed fish species had contaminant levels below human health risk criteria, is no longer present, wasn't collected, and/or in-take is considered insignificant for waterbody.

³ Additional indicator species collected and analyzed.

⁴ Species not sampled or no longer exists in waterbody.

Table 6. Continued

WATERBODY	WATER-BODY ID	COUNTY	FISH SPECIES	ADVISORY ACTION	LISTING REASON ¹
ADVISORY LOCATIONS – CONT.					
Calamus Reservoir	LO2-L0050	Loup	Common Carps ¹ / White Bass ^{1,3} / Walleye ^{1,3}	Maintain mercury advisory for Common Carp, add White Bass and Walleye	Mercury
Sherman Reservoir	LO3-L0020	Sherman	White Bass ¹ / Largemouth Bass ¹ / Walleye ¹ / White Crappie ^{1,3}	Maintain mercury advisory for White Bass, Largemouth Bass, Walleye and add White Crappie	Mercury
Davis Creek Reservoir	LO2-L0015	Valley	Common Carps ¹ / Walleye ^{1,3}	Maintain mercury advisory for Common Carp and add Walleye	Mercury
SCREENING LOCATIONS					
Sandy Channel Lake # 4 (SRA)	MP2-L0420	Buffalo	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Darr Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0550	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
West Cozad Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0580	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
East Gothenburg Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0681	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Lake Helen	MP2-L0650	Dawson	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Burwell Lake (Ladybird)	LO2-L0030	Garfield	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Grand Island Pier Lake	MP2-L0020	Hall	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Farwell South Reservoir	LO3-L0010	Howard	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Fort Kearny Lake # 6 (SRA)	MP2-L0300	Kearney	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Fremont Slough (WMA)	MP2-L0840	Lincoln	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Sock Lake	LO1-LXXXX	Platte	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Auble Pond	LO2-L0020	Valley	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury
Lake Ericson	LO1-L0140	Wheeler	Largemouth Bass ¹	New Advisory	Mercury

*Refer to *Appendix A* for the complete list of advisory sites and location maps.

¹ Sites listed with H.I. (Hazard Index), C.R. (Cancer Risk), or Mercury had contaminant levels above human health risk criteria.

² Listed fish species had contaminant levels below human health risk criteria, is no longer present, wasn't collected, and/or in-take is considered insignificant for waterbody.

³ Additional indicator species collected and analyzed.

⁴ Species not sampled or no longer exists in waterbody.

LITERATURE CITED

- ACS. 2010. Cancer Facts and Figures 2010. American Cancer Society.
- EPA. 1980. Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Polychlorinated Biphenyls. Office of Water Regulations and Standards. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. 20460. EPA Document Number 440/5-80-068.
- EPA. 1989. Assessing Human Health Risks from Chemically Contaminated Fish and Shellfish: A Guidance Manual. Office of Water Regulations and Standards. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. 20460.
- EPA. 1991. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance, Standard default Exposure Factors. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. 20460.
- EPA. 1995. *Proceedings of the National Forum on Mercury in Fish*. Office of Water. Washington, D.C. 20460. EPA Document Number 823-R-95-002.
- EPA. 1999. *Proceedings of the 1999 American Fisheries Society Forum on Contaminants in Fish*.
- EPA. 2000. Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories. Office of Water. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. 20460. EPA Document Number 823-B-00-008.
- EPA. 2001. Water Quality Criterion for the Protection of Human Health: Methylmercury. Office of Water. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. 20460. EPA Document Number 823-R-01-001.
- EPA. 2009. Guidance for Implementing the January 2001 Methylmercury Water Quality Criterion. Office of Water. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, D.C. 20460. EPA Document Number 823-R-09-002.
- EPA. 2025a. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) database. Online. (<http://www.epa.gov/IRIS/>).
- EPA. 2025b. Regional Screening Levels for Chemical Contaminants. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Pacific Southwest, Region 9. Online. (<http://www.epa.gov/region9/superfund/prg/>).
- NDEQ. 1992. Nebraska Stream Inventory. Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality. Lincoln, NE 68509
- NDEQ. 2011. Policy for Issuing Nebraska Fish Consumption Advisories. Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality. Lincoln, NE 68509.
- NDEQ. 2019. Title 117 – Nebraska Surface Water Quality Standards. Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality. Lincoln, NE 68509.

APPENDIX A

NEBRASKA FISH CONSUMPTION ADVISORIES THROUGH 2025

Important Note: Fish consumption advisories are not bans on eating fish, rather they provide information on the potential risks associated with the consumption of specified fish from certain waterbodies. Nebraska's *Risk Criteria* for issuing fish consumption advisories are based on an 8-oz weekly fillet meal portion combined with a consumer body weight of 70 kg (154 lbs), assuming 100% contaminant absorption, and an exposure period of 26 years (see maps below).

MAP I.D. #	WATERBODY	ID	FISH TYPE	HEALTH RISK CRITERIA VIOLATED ¹	POLLUTANT OF CONCERN
BIG BLUE RIVER BASIN					
1	Oxbow Trails Reservoir	BB4-L0035	Largemouth Bass / White Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
2	Lake Hastings	BB3-L0050	Common Carp	Cancer Risk, Hazard Index	PCBs, Mercury
3	Walnut Creek #2	BB1-L0100	Largemouth Bass / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
4	Swanton Lake (Swan Lake 67)	BB2-L0005	Largemouth Bass / Channel Catfish	Mercury	Mercury
5	Willard L. Meyer (Swan Creek Lake 5A)	BB2-L0020	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
6	Wolf-Wildcat Lake	BB1-L0050	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
7	Cub Creek 9B (Leisure Lake)	BB1-L0070	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
8	Bear Creek 2A	BB1-L0065	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
9	Cub Creek Reservoir	BB1-L0080	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
10	Diamond Lake South - WMA Pond	BB1-L0020	Largemouth Bass / Black Crappie / Blue Catfish	Mercury	Mercury
11	Recharge Lake	BB3-L0080	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
12	North Commons Pond	BB3-XXXX	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
ELKHORN RIVER BASIN					
13	Goose Lake	EL4-L0050	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
14	West Point City Lake	EL1-L0060	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
15	Atkinson Lake	EL4-L0070	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
16	Maskenthine Lake	EL1-L0080	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
17	Maple Creek Recreation Area Lake	EL1-L0095	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
18	Twin Lakes - North	EL4-L0120	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
19	Twin Lakes - South	EL4-L0130	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
LITTLE BLUE RIVER BASIN					
20	Lone Star Reservoir	LB1-L0050	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury
21	Big Sandy Creek	LB2-10200	Channel Catfish	Hazard Index, Mercury	Mercury
22	Liberty Cove	LB2-L0050	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
LOUP RIVER BASIN					
23	Pawnee Park Pond - East	LO1-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
24	Pibel Lake	LO1-L0130	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury

¹ The Risk Criteria established by the Nebraska Fish Tissue Advisory Committee include fish tissue that: (1) are found to have mercury concentrations > 0.215 mg/kg, (2) have contaminant concentrations that may be associated with adverse health effects (Hazard Index > 1.0) or (3) may be associated with an excess Cancer Risk > 1 in 10,000 when ingested.

APPENDIX A – Continued

MAP I.D. #	WATERBODY	ID	FISH TYPE	HEALTH RISK CRITERIA VIOLATED ¹	POLLUTANT OF CONCERN
LOUP RIVER BASIN – CONT.					
25	Lake Ericson	LO1-L0140	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
26	Sock Lake	LO1-LXXXX	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
27	North Loup SRA Lake	LO2-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
28	Davis Creek Lake	LO2-L0015	Common Carp / Walleye	Mercury	Mercury
29	Auble Pond	LO2-L0020	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
30	Burwell Lake (Ladybird)	LO2-L0030	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
31	Calamus Reservoir	LO2-L0050	Common Carp / White Bass / Walleye	Mercury	Mercury
32	Farwell South Reservoir	LO3-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
33	Sherman Reservoir	LO3-L0020	White Bass / Largemouth Bass / Walleye / White Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
34	Frye Lake - WMA	LO3-L0070	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
35	Melham Park Lake	LO4-L0040	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
36	Pressey Pond - WMA	LO4-L0045	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
LOWER PLATTE RIVER BASIN					
37	Louisville Lake No. 3 - SRA	LP1-L0040	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury
38	Two Rivers Lake No. 1 - SRA	LP1-L0130	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
39	Fremont Lake No. 11 - SRA	LP1-L0210	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
40	Fremont Lake No. 1	LP1-L0290	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
41	Lake Babcock	LP1-L0450	Common Carp	Mercury	Mercury
42	Lake Wanahoo	LP2-L0015	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
43	Hedgefield Lake - WMA	LP2-L0020	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
44	Holmes Lake	LP2-L0040	Largemouth Bass / Walleye / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury
45	Stagecoach Lake	LP2-L0050	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
46	Cottontail Lake	LP2-L0070	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
47	Yankee Hill Lake	LP2-L0090	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury
48	Bowling Lake	LP2-L0100	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
49	Bluestem Lake	LP2-L0110	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
50	Conestoga Lake	LP2-L0130	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
51	Olive Creek Lake	LP2-L0140	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
52	Branched Oak Lake	LP2-L0150	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
53	Merganser Lake	LP2-L0170	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
54	Red Cedar Lake	LP2-L0190	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
55	Wild Plum Lake	LP2-L0200	Largemouth Bass / White Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
56	Meadowlark Lake	LP2-L0220	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury

¹ The Risk Criteria established by the Nebraska Fish Tissue Advisory Committee include fish tissue that: (1) are found to have mercury concentrations > 0.215 mg/kg, (2) have contaminant concentrations that may be associated with adverse health effects (Hazard Index > 1.0) or (3) may be associated with an excess Cancer Risk > 1 in 10,000 when ingested.

APPENDIX A – Continued

MAP I.D. #	WATERBODY		FISH TYPE	HEALTH RISK CRITERIA VIOLATED ¹	POLLUTANT OF CONCERN
LOWER PLATTE RIVER BASIN – CONT.					
57	East Twin Lake	LP2-L0240	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
58	Timber Point Lake	LP2-L0250	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
59	Czechland Lake	LP2-L0270	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
60	Redtail Lake	LP2-L0280	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
61	Oak Creek	LP2-20500	Common Carp / Channel Catfish	Mercury	Mercury
62	Wildwood Reservoir	LP2-L0120	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
MIDDLE PLATTE RIVER BASIN					
63	Grand Island Pier Lake	MP2-L0020	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
64	L.E. Ray Lake	MP2-L0030	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
65	War Axe Lake - SRA	MP2-L0120	Smallmouth Bass / Walleye	Mercury	Mercury
66	Windmill State Park Lake # 1	MP2-L0170	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
67	Bassway Strip Lake No. 5	MP2-L0190	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
68	Fort Kearny Lake # 5 (SRA)	MP2-L0290	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
69	Fort Kearny Lake # 6 (SRA)	MP2-L0300	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
70	Kea Lake - WMA	MP2-L0320	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
71	Kea West Lake - WMA	MP2-L0340	Smallmouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
72	Cottonmill Lake	MP2-L0360	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
73	Sandy Channel Lake #4 (SRA)	MP2-L0420	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
74	Johnson Lake	MP2-L0520	Smallmouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
75	Elwood Reservoir	MP2-L0540	Largemouth Bass / Walleye	Mercury	Mercury
76	Darr Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0550	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
77	West Cozad Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0580	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
78	Lake Helen	MP2-L0650	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
79	East Gothenburg Lake (WMA)	MP2-L0681	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
80	Jeffery Reservoir	MP2-L0710	Smallmouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
81	Crystal Lake	MP2-L0820	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
82	Fremont Slough (WMA)	MP2-L0840	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
83	Archway Pond #1	MP2-LXXXX	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
MISSOURI TRIBUTARIES RIVER BASIN					
84	Lawrence Youngman Lake	MT1-L0067	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
85	Offutt Lake	MT1-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury

¹ The *Risk Criteria* established by the Nebraska Fish Tissue Advisory Committee include fish tissue that: (1) are found to have mercury concentrations ≥ 0.215 mg/kg, (2) have contaminant concentrations that may be associated with adverse health effects (Hazard Index ≥ 1.0) or (3) may be associated with an excess Cancer Risk ≥ 1 in 10,000 when ingested.

APPENDIX A – Continued

MAP I.D. #	WATERBODY	ID	FISH TYPE	HEALTH RISK CRITERIA VIOLATED ¹	POLLUTANT OF CONCERN
MISSOURI TRIBUTARIES RIVER BASIN – CONT.					
86	Walnut Creek Lake	MT1-L0025	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
87	Prairie Queen Lake	MT1-L0027	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
88	Wehrspann Lake	MT1-L0030	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
89	Standing Bear Lake	MT1-L0100	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
90	Glenn Cunningham Lake	MT1-L0120	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
91	Prairie View Lake	MT1-L0135	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
NEMAHA RIVER BASIN					
92	Duck Lake	NE1-L0007	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
93	Steinart Park Lake	NE1-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
94	Verdon Lake	NE2-L0020	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
95	Kirkman's Cove Lake	NE2-L0040	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
96	Prairie Knoll Lake	NE2-L0080	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
97	Iron Horse Trail Lake	NE2-L0090	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
98	Burchard Lake	NE2-L0120	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
99	Mayberry Lake - WMA	NE2-L0195	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
100	Wilson Creek 2X - WMA	NE3-L0040	Largemouth Bass / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
101	Wirth Brothers Lake	NE3-L0045	Largemouth Bass / Black Crappie / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury
NIOBRARA RIVER BASIN					
102	Schoolhouse Lake	NI3-LXXXX	Largemouth Bass / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
103	Box Butte Reservoir	NI4-L0080	Largemouth Bass / Smallmouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
104	Cottonwood Lake	NI4-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
105	Cub Creek Lake	NI3-L0070	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
106	Merritt Reservoir	NI3-L0330	Walleye / Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
107	Shell Lake	NI4-L0020	Largemouth Bass / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
108	West Long Lake	NI3-L0300	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
109	Valentine Mill Pond	NI3-L0170	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury
110	Walgren Lake	NI4-L0050	Largemouth Bass / Black Crappie	Mercury	Mercury
NORTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN					
111	Bridgeport Southeast Lake	NP3-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
112	Bridgeport Middle Lake	NP3-L0030	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
113	Bridgeport Southwest Lake	NP3-L0040	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury

¹ The *Risk Criteria* established by the Nebraska Fish Tissue Advisory Committee include fish tissue that: (1) are found to have mercury concentrations > 0.215 mg/kg, (2) have contaminant concentrations that may be associated with adverse health effects (Hazard Index $\geq$ 1.0) or (3) may be associated with an excess Cancer Risk $\geq$ 1 in 10,000 when ingested.

APPENDIX A – Continued

Map I.D. #	WATERBODY	ID	FISH TYPE	HEALTH RISK CRITERIA VIOLATED ¹	POLLUTANT OF CONCERN
NORTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN – CONT.					
114	Lake Minatare	NP3-L0060	Smallmouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
115	Crescent Lake	NP2-L0095	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
116	Island Lake	NP2-L0110	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
117	Blue Lake	NP2-L0150	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
118	Morrill Sandpit - South	NP3-L0140	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
119	North Platte River	NP3-10000	Common Carp	Hazard Index	Mercury, Selenium
120	Smith Lake	NP2-L0290	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN					
121	Frenchman #1 WMA West Lake	RE3-L0084	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill	Mercury	Mercury
122	Oxford City Lake	RE2-L0020	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
123	Harlan County Reservoir	RE2-L0010	White Bass / White Bass Hybrid	Mercury	Mercury
124	Medicine Creek Reservoir	RE3-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
125	Red Willow Reservoir	RE3-L0060	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
126	Frenchman #2 WMA Middle Lake	RE3-L0085	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
127	Frenchman #3 WMA East Lake	RE3-L0086	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
128	Swanson Reservoir	RE3-L0070	White Bass	Mercury	Mercury
SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN					
129	Chappell Interstate Lake	SP2-L0010	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
130	Birdwood Lake	SP1-L0030	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
131	East Hershey Lake	SP1-L0040	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
132	East Sutherland Lake	SP1-L0070	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
133	Maloney Res. Outlet Canal - above hydro	SP1-10500	Common Carp / Smallmouth Bass	Cancer Risk, Hazard Index / Mercury	PCBs, Mercury / Mercury
134	Interstate Lake – North Platte	SP1-L0010	Largemouth Bass / Yellow Perch	Mercury	Mercury
135	Ogallala City Park Lake (Humphrey Pond)	SP1-L0090	Largemouth Bass	Hazard Index	PCBs, Mercury
136	Sutherland Cooling Pond	SP1-LXXXX	Smallmouth Bass / Flathead Catfish	Mercury	Mercury
WHITE-HAT CREEK RIVER BASIN					
137	White River	WH1-30000	White Sucker	Mercury	Mercury
138	Lower Ice House Pond	WH1-L0110	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
139	Carter P. Johnson Lake	WH1-L0200	Largemouth Bass / Channel Catfish	Mercury	Mercury
140	Grabel Pond #5	WH1-L0170	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury
141	Isham Dam Lake	WH1-L0010	Largemouth Bass / Bluegill / Yellow Perch	Mercury	Mercury


¹ The *Risk Criteria* established by the Nebraska Fish Tissue Advisory Committee include fish tissue that: (1) are found to have mercury concentrations > 0.215 mg/kg, (2) have contaminant concentrations that may be associated with adverse health effects (Hazard Index ≥ 1.0) or (3) may be associated with an excess Cancer Risk ≥ 1 in 10,000 when ingested.

APPENDIX A – Continued

Map I.D. #	WATERBODY	ID	FISH TYPE	HEALTH RISK CRITERIA VIOLATED ¹	POLLUTANT OF CONCERN
WHITE-HAT CREEK RIVER BASIN – CONT.					
142	Whitney Reservoir	WH1-L0060	White Bass / Bluegill / Channel Catfish	Mercury	Mercury
143	Gilbert-Baker WMA Pond	WH2-L0040	Largemouth Bass	Mercury	Mercury

LAKE - FISH CONSUMPTION ADVISORY SITES IN NEBRASKA THROUGH 2025

(See *Appendix A* table for advisory information associated with site identification numbers displayed)



STREAM - FISH CONSUMPTION ADVISORY SITES IN NEBRASKA THROUGH 2025

(See *Appendix A* table for advisory information associated with site identification numbers displayed)

